Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/069,258	BORG, THOMAS	BORG, THOMAS	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shane Bomar	3672		
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Amended</u>	ŧ	
(1) <u>Shane Bomar</u> .	(3)			
(2) Richard Conard.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>11 March 2004</u>	Time: <u>1:30pm</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	applicant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: 9				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE OF The amendement filed 2/25/2004 placed the application in claim 1. The attorney was contacted regarding this and a	condition for allowance, but it wa	as noted that claim 9 did n	ot further limit	
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession. 	on. The examiner will provide arate record of the substance	a written summary of the of the interview, since	ne substance	
				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representa	itive Signature – if appro	орпате)	